# **Community Housing**

Notes created on December 16, 2025 at 17:31 by Minutes Al

#### **Introductions and Purpose of the Meeting**

- Neil Warner from John Fitch planning consultancy introduced himself. The consultancy is based in Oxford with an office in Blockton.
- Charlie Luxton from Charlie Luxton Design, an architectural practice based in Norton specializing in sustainable architecture, mentioned their involvement in a community-led housing project.
- J.J. Wiley, from an independent heritage, archaeology, and landscape assessment consultancy, noted that they live in Charbury and work locally, particularly in conservation areas.
- Rory Hunter, along with his wife India, will be looking at the construction site. He emphasized their local connection and desire to do the site justice.

#### Site History and Development Proposal

- The site has a history of development proposals.
- The current proposal involves four or five units in the center of the site.
- Five units would allow for a private drive, keeping density lower.
- The five units are considered the "engine room" to allow Rory to take on the site and finance further development.

# **Design Considerations and Community Needs**

- The site has been identified as providing an important break within the village and a connection to the wider landscape.
- The development is designed to start just beyond the edge of the conservation area, respecting the existing garden line.
- The proposal is inspired by a farmstead, including a farmhouse, barn-type buildings, and smaller, accessible homes for rightsizing.

• The design aims to maintain a visual connection through the site to the wider landscape.

#### **Housing Crisis and Community Benefits**

- The speakers acknowledged concerns about development and its impact on the community.
- They also noted the current housing crisis and the government's push for new housing.
- Kingham is considered a sustainable location for housing due to its transport links, school, and shop.
- The speakers are interested in exploring opportunities to provide new access to the landscape, such as walking loops and access to the brook.
- They also suggested the possibility of rewetting the riverside, creating a community orchard, and other community benefits.

# **Biodiversity and Heritage**

- The location of the houses does not impact the heritage orchard.
- There are plans to reintroduce local aqua variety trees and restore grassland.
- They are exploring interest in bird hides and access to a restored river.

#### Affordable Local Housing

- The speaker is the secretary of the Hook Norton Community Land Trust.
  - The trust built eight affordable rental houses, four for sale, and a community facility.
- The speaker states that if the village wants to pursue a similar project, a conversation can be had, but it is a "long and convoluted process."
- The speaker emphasizes that such a project needs to be driven and led by the community.

# **Technical Reports**

Initial reports suggest no archaeology.

- A transport assessment indicates no significant impact on traffic due to the small size of the development (five units).
- There is drainage potential for surface water through sustainable urban drainage.
  - A request has been submitted to Thames Water regarding capacity, with a response expected in the new year.
- The potential is to lose only one apple tree, which will be replaced with multiple new apple trees.
- Landscape visual impact: Negligible impact from roads and footpaths on the wider Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB).

#### **Conservation Area**

- Previous applications lacked detailed expert reports, which this proposal aims to address.
- Previous schemes had built form on the front portion of the site, negatively impacting the conservation area's character.
- The design is pushed outside the conservation area boundary but within the line of the adjacent garden lines.
- The position and layout of the buildings seek to maintain a sense of visual openness and connection to the countryside.
- The speaker notes that housing has always been in demand and that the built environment evolves over time.

### **Community Feedback and Consultation**

- Sheets, pens, and post-it notes are available for attendees to jot down thoughts and ideas.
- Comments can be emailed to the provided address with "Lockwood" in the description.
- Attendees are asked not to take photographs of the documents to avoid confusion with formal applications later on.
- The consultation process will be documented, including comments received and responses to those comments.

#### **Proposal Overview**

- The proposal is for five houses in the middle of the site.
- The aim is to create a village green space at the front of the site.
- There is an aspiration to provide access to the area at the back with a new footpath.
- The proposal will be an outline, focusing on general principles rather than specific details like window placement.

#### **Additional Considerations**

- Arboriculture works have been conducted, identifying only one impacted tree.
- Preliminary ecological appraisals have been carried out.
- Contaminated land has not yet been assessed but will be addressed if present.

# **Development Details and Timeline**

- Preliminary application process is underway with current landowners.
- Target application submission: Q1 2026, with potential on-site start in early 2027.
- Construction duration: Approximately 15 months, aiming for completion by mid-2028.
- Proposal includes 5 houses with consideration for community benefits like a riverside walk.

#### **Housing and Community Land Trust**

- The five houses are essential for financial viability and avoid triggering requirements for an adopted road.
- Exploring possibilities for affordable housing or an almshouse on the front of the site.
- Community input is sought for the remaining land, potentially through a community land trust.

#### **Concerns and Assurances**

- A resident expressed concerns about the community benefits not materializing or the land being developed by someone else.
- The developers stated that the purchase agreement includes a lockout, requiring them to submit the agreed-upon plan.
- The developers assured that they would not sell the land to another developer for a larger project.

### **Planning and Community Input**

- Biodiversity net gain will be addressed, with a proposal to enhance the bottom of the site, secured for 30 years through legal agreements.
- Community input is desired for the front of the land, including discussions on maintenance responsibilities.
- The developers are open to the parish council taking on the maintenance of any community space created.

# **Application Details**

- A detailed application is preferred to address concerns, including those related to barn owls.
- An outline application would only provide basic information about the consent for a certain square footage.
- A detailed application is possible given the information available, and discussions are ongoing regarding the process.
- Due to the context and conservation area status, more information than just a red line indicating house placement is necessary.

#### **Community Access Area Proposal**

- The idea is to create a community access area with a pond, planting, walking area, benches, and open space.
- Engagement with the community is encouraged to understand their needs and create a suitable structure.

- The developers are open to conversations with a group of people who have a clear idea of how they would like to see the land used.
- Plans include biodiversity net gain, 30 years of landscape improvement, and grassland improvement, with potential re-wetting of the river.

#### **Housing Details**

- Two houses at the front will be single story to avoid overlooking issues.
- Space standards and distances to boundaries have been considered to ensure compliance.
- The single-story homes are intended as "homes for life," suitable for people who want to downsize but stay in the village.
- The aim is to create a mixed community with different types of homes.

# **Land Ownership and Maintenance**

- Ownership and maintenance of the undeveloped land will depend on discussions and outcomes.
- Options include working with a Land Trust or establishing a fund for maintenance.
- Community input is crucial in determining the future of the land.
- The balance between development and community benefit needs to be addressed.

#### **Community Concerns**

- Concerns were raised about the maintenance of the common areas, particularly the pond.
- Parking issues at the station were mentioned as a related concern.
- A resident asked for guarantees that additional houses won't be built on the site later.
- Infrastructure, especially sewage, was raised as a concern due to existing problems and planned new developments.

#### **Guarantees and Restrictions**

- The purchase agreement is conditional on the application.
- BNG credits at the back of the site would legally restrict development for 30 years.
- The land in front remains in the conservation area, providing character and appearance protection.
- The existing conservation area status means that any future development would still need to address its impact.

# **Drainage and Sewage**

- Thames Water is assessing the system's capacity.
  - Thames Water will indicate capacity for houses and provide a date, which can be accelerated with payment.
- Speaker disagrees with the privatization of the sewage system.
- Alternative options include a package treatment plant and wetlands, but regulations may require connection to the existing system.

#### **Housing and Community**

- Building new housing is essential to solving the housing crisis.
- Concern exists that new houses may become Airbnbs instead of being occupied by local residents.
- There are legal ways to ensure homes are owner-occupied.
- Access to the land for locals is seen as a positive proposition.

# **Site Design and Community Integration**

- Ancient apple and pear trees will be preserved, and no building will occur there.
- The aim is to create a nice community, balancing housing needs with community access to green spaces.
- The design considers a mix of housing and a route for people to walk and enjoy the area.
- The intention is to create a controlled wildlife site with boardwalks and managed access.

### **River Restoration and Community Assets**

- Discussions have occurred with the Evenlode Restoration Trust about river restoration.
- The river has been overdug and is poor for wildlife, presenting an opportunity for restoration.
- There is potential to create a permissive path along the brook to the Legion Field, creating a walking loop.
- The speaker acknowledges the idealistic nature of these plans but emphasizes the need for community support.

#### **Community Involvement and Concerns**

- The Diocese of Oxford owns the field, not the local church.
- The parish council has an important role in the development.
  - The parish council needs to ensure its voice is heard and that the adoption of the land is fully understood.
- Concerns exist about preventing houses from becoming Airbnbs.
  - Leases can specify that the house must be the principal residence.
  - Mitigation strategies can be implemented, but some people will find ways around them.

### **Planning System Limitations**

- Planning only views a house as a house.
- The speaker believes Airbnbs are not as problematic as empty homes because they are occupied and contribute to the local economy.
- Leaseholds could control property use, but the government has discouraged them.
- The planning system is "clunky" and doesn't focus on utilities like water pressure.

#### **Water Pressure**

There are solutions for water pressure issues, such as substations.

Water pressure is a problem in West Street.

# **Parking**

- The parking proposal meets Oxfordshire parking standards, possibly exceeding them.
- Oxfordshire has specific parking requirements and the speaker anticipates "endless backwards and forwards about the exact number of parking that they will allow you to have."
- Parking is incorporated into the built form to minimize visual impact.
- A private drive will be co-owned and managed by the five properties.

### **Site Access and Layout**

- There will be a pedestrian access to the left of the bull sign.
- The road access will widen, tucking the wall in slightly.
- The middle of the field will remain planted with a pond, with houses beyond the rise.

# Lighting

- There are specific controls around lighting to minimize light pollution and ecological impact.
- The development's size allows for avoiding excessive street lighting.
- Ecology is a key consideration, especially regarding foraging routes for bats.

# **Environmental Impact and Sustainability**

- Passive house principles are used, with the aim to exceed building regulations.
- Bio-based and local materials are used.
- PVs and batteries will be used where suitable.
- The speaker states, "we are very, very focused on sustainability as a practice."
- Local tradespeople will be used.

# **Allotments and Development**

- New allotments in the nature reserve.
- · A developer's stream going into a pond was going to kill.
  - · Unclear if this is still the case.

# **Responding to Proposals**

- Copies of proposals are available.
- Time frame for response:
  - Not immediate, responses can be submitted after the Christmas break.
  - Aiming for mid-January to start compiling feedback.
  - Comments will be incorporated as they come in.

# **Community Input**

- · Parish Council has meetings coming up.
- · Ideas and thoughts are welcome.
  - "So generally it's just any. Any ideas send them through or think about them."
- Take time to review the drawings and provide feedback.
  - "Give us. Give us any thoughts and. And we'll try and incorporate things as a mob."